

**City of Columbus
Regular City Council Meeting
December 10, 2014**

The December 10, 2014 meeting of the City of Columbus City Council was called to order at 7:02 P.M. by Mayor Dave Povolny at the City Hall. Present were Council Members Denny Peterson, Jessie Messina, Jeff Duraine & Bill Krebs. Also present were City Administrator Elizabeth Mursko, Attorney William Griffith, Engineer Larry Bohrer, Deputy Treasurer Lynette Olinger and Recording Secretary Lorie Lemieux

Absent: None

Also in attendance were: Mark Daly, Jesse Preiner, Pat Preiner, Mary Preiner, Kris King, Paul Peskar, Dawn & Derek Mann, Jon Peterson, Ron Barnes, Jim Windingstad, Karen Blaska, and Paul Ringell Forest Lake Times

A. CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING

1. Call To Order - Regular Meeting – 7:02 P.M.
2. Pledge of Allegiance

B. CONSENT AGENDA

3. Motion- Approval of the Road Improvement 14-01 & 14-02 PH Minutes 10/23/2014
4. Motion- Approval of the City Council Meeting Minutes of 11/24/14
5. Motion- Agenda Approval with Additions
6. Motion- Pay Bills as Posted

Motion by Krebs to approve the consent agenda. Second by Peterson. Votes as follows: Peterson- Aye; Messina-aye; Povolny-aye; Duraine-aye; Krebs-aye. Motion carried.

C. PRESENTATIONS

7. East Anoka County Regional Trail Master Plan Amendment (Pages 1-4)

Anoka County Park Planner, Karen Blaska presented tonight. Karen has been in contact with City Administrator Mursko prior to this meeting and had already responded to a list of questions that Mursko had provided. This presentation is intended to bring additional clarity to any lingering questions that City officials, staff, and residents might have.

The plan for the Anoka County trail system was created in 1998 and a large part of the system, the section from County Road J to Blaine, has already been built. The next section being planned for construction goes from Main Street to Isanti County. The plan is to have the paved trail be 10 feet wide and feature primarily off-road construction. In some cases where right-of-way acquisition proves difficult, it may be necessary to have portions of the trail closer to the road in order to utilize existing right-of-way. This has yet to be determined but will be better understood as the project gets closer to construction.

Only a small portion of the currently proposed project is located in the City of Columbus. This portion is from 185th Avenue North to Coon Lake Park. It would be a 10 foot wide off-road trail. The trail frequently runs along roads that border Columbus but almost all of it is hosted on land that is the jurisdiction of neighboring cities. It was noted by one of the council members that he has talked to some of the residents who would have the trail in front of their properties and most of them are displeased at the prospect of having a trail in their front yard.

There was some concern expressed about how the portions of the trail that go through parkland would affect local wildlife. Ms. Blaska reports that park alignments are not set in stone and can be altered at a later date if it becomes clear that a different alignment would be more sensitive to wildlife. There was also concern expressed about language in the proposal that would have the City of Columbus be responsible for maintenance costs for the trail. Ms. Blaska explained that the master plan language is not set in stone and that this later will be discussed and negotiated more thoroughly once the project actually gets funding. Generally, the County provides all the capital construction costs to build these trails and the host municipalities are expected to perform some routine maintenance. This varies, however, from case to case and can be negotiated at a later date depending on what the Council is inclined to agree with. Routine maintenance generally includes crack sealing, some sweeping, and potentially garbage pickup if a trail-adjacent trash can is located within the City. The County takes care of trash pickup within actual parks.

Council Member Peterson expressed some concern that general taxpayer money is used for the construction and maintenance of these trails and that there is no user fee in place to get bicyclists to fund these improvements themselves. He thinks it is an double standard since snowmobilers have to pay to get a license for their vehicles. Council Member Krebs mirrors these concerns and worries that this trail would displace snowmobilers currently using the same path. There is also some concern that this path is too far from most of Columbus's residents to really bring much benefit to City residents. In response to the snowmobile concern, Ms. Blaska states that it is expected that the area will be able to host both snowmobiles and bikes on separate trails. The path would not be plowed in the winter so it is unlikely that there would be any bikes present to have conflicts with snowmobiles. An additional area of concern is the likelihood that the DNR will compel the project to achieve some level of wetland mitigation which will raise costs. Ms. Blaska reports that they did account for some wetland mitigation in the project planning. In some situations, they will be using boardwalks to minimize wetland impacts.

Council Member Messina spoke highly of the Anoka County Trail System and noted that all of the neighboring communities have been highly supportive of the project thus far. He inquired what would happen if Columbus were to decline cooperation with the trail project. Ms. Blaska reports that there would likely need to be a reroute the trail around Coon Lake under those circumstances. Mayor Povolny inquired as to whether this project would be funded completely with county dollars. Ms. Blaska's response is that this will be the case unless the City determines that it would, for some reason, like to offer financial support.

Ms. Blaska is seeking a resolution of support for the overall trail master plan in its current alignment. All the funding details would be decided at a later date and the City would not be financially committing to anything by taking this step. There was an open house in August

where everyone who lives adjacent to the trail was invited by mailer. After a comment period, there actually did end up being a realignment of the trail so it would better correspond to community wishes. Ms. Blaska reports that her group would ideally want the City Council's resolution sometime in the next couple of weeks. In response to some concerns about privacy, she further noted that they would be willing to work with landowners to mitigate privacy concerns in some cases with fences, berms, trees, and other such barriers. There is still some concern about how much the City would be committing to if it eventually agreed to be responsible for ongoing maintenance costs. At this time, the Council's motion would be to approve the concept plan and direct City staff to draw up a resolution of support.

A motion was made by Council Member Messina to approve the concept plan. Seconded by Duraine. Votes as Follows: Peterson- Nay; Messina-Aye; Povolny-Aye; Duraine-Aye; Krebs-Nay. Motion Carried.

Mayor Povolny stated that his aye vote is in order to get the resolution drawn up to further the discussion and that the issue remains contentious. The Council requested additional information from Blaska about how much this trail would cost the City of Columbus in ongoing maintenance.

8. Presentation/Discussion/Call for Public Comment/Motion on Approval of 2015 Levy & Budget (Enclosure & Page 5-6)

The Council has been working on the budget since June. They have been striving to streamline services and save money in all situations where that is possible. Almost all individual budgets are either at or below the levels of the previous year. The public works budget, however, was seen to be in need of additional blacktop funding for road maintenance. Blacktop costs have been increasing and the City has added significant amounts of additional paved road since the last time this budget was set and the old budgeted amount is no longer sufficient. According to Mursko, levy decisions were made with the understanding that Columbus is a fiscally conservative community and that low levels of taxation are always preferable when that is possible. The levy was flat for the years 2010-2013 due to an understanding that the recession had a tangible financial impact on many residents and that costs should be kept as low as possible. In 2013, however, some slight levy increases were needed to adjust for increases in the cost of services. The City is looking at a levy increase of \$209,000 in 2015, driven largely by the need to increase that blacktop maintenance fund.

Some parts of the levy are legally required and the City has no flexibility in their levels. Debt service payments are mandatory and cannot be negotiated at this time. The City's debt is related to road realignments and land acquisition. Columbus is also required to levy for the Sunrise Water Maintenance Organization. This watershed district covers a portion of Columbus and, as it does not conduct its own levy, it determines how much is owed by member cities and the cities conduct the levy for them. Not all of Columbus is covered by Sunrise and residents living outside of Sunrise watershed district will not be part of its levy. These residents will pay levies to their own watershed districts which charge their fees directly. Another portion of the levy is for the Columbus Economic Development Authority. They are levying for \$76,050 for their 2015 budget.

There was some discussion about City revenues. The building department has been entirely self-funding by fees for at least the last fifteen years. Money raised by building inspection fees is legally required to be used on the building department.

Council Member Krebs feels that \$40,000 should be moved from the gravel fund to the bridge/transportation fund. This would simply be a transfer of funds within the levy and would not result in any increase in the levy. Public Works will need at least \$10,000 in the gravel fund for repairs in the coming year. The gravel fund will have its full funding restored in 2016. The money seems to be more needed elsewhere right now.

Motion by Krebs to move \$40,000 from the gravel fund to the Transportation Studies fund. Second by Peterson. Votes as Follows: Peterson-Aye; Messina-Aye; Povolny-Aye; Duraine-Aye; Krebs-Aye. Motion carried.

The forum was opened up to public comment. Jessie Preiner complimented City Administrator Mursko and City Staff for the straightforwardness of the budget. It was very easily understood.

A discussion took place about animal control services in Columbus. The City is currently having difficulty securing a vendor due to a slate of local retirements in the field. Residents have been calling the Sheriff's Department with complaints and the community service officers have been handling the strays. Mursko is investigating a partnership with another city to jointly secure these services. Forest Lake would seem like the most natural partnership but their provider charges rates based on mileage and her distance from most of Columbus would lead to extremely high rates being charged.

Council Member Messina does not believe that this is a good year to increase the blacktop fund due to cost increases in police protection and the amount that is owed on debt service. His contention is that there is too much of a tax burden already and that a better option would be to hope that the City could successfully make some land sales that would provide funding for blacktop. Mayor Povolny is concerned that by letting the blacktop fund get low the City might be undermining its own development goals. As materials and labor costs increase and inflation occurs, it is only natural that more money would be needed to maintain road quality and the quality of life in Columbus. Other Council Members are concerned that putting more money into roads might just be compounding the City's problems. There is already a lot of money being spent on debt servicing and spending more on roads could be burdening residents too much.

Motion by Messina to keep the blacktop fund flat from the previous year. Second by Duraine. Votes as Follows: Peterson- Nay; Messina-Aye; Povolny-Nay; Duraine-Aye; Krebs-Nay. Motion defeated.

The originally planned increase to the blacktop fund will be retained.

Motion ADM 05000 FIN 01310 by Peterson to approve Resolution 14-33 Approving 2015 Final Levy Certification for Property Taxes Collectable in 2015 in the amount of \$2,371,187. Second by Krebs. Votes as follows: Peterson - Aye; Messina - Nay; Duraine - Aye; Krebs - Aye; Povolny - Aye. Motion carried.

There was roughly \$8,000 in adjustments made to the City budget since the preliminary levy was adopted. There were some changes made to fire inspection based on Forest Lake eliminating some funding from their budget.

Motion ADM 05000 FIN 01310 by Peterson to approve Resolution 14-34 Approving 2015 Final Budget in the amount of \$2,764,215. Second by Krebs. Votes as follows: Peterson -Aye; Messina - Nay; Duraine - Aye; Krebs -Aye; Povolny - Aye. Motion Carried.

9. PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT

The December 3, 2014 Planning Commission meeting was cancelled.

Non-Binding Concept Review for Equipment Transport Leasing Inc.

Derek Mann, President of Equipment transport Leasing Inc is presenting tonight. He is looking at purchasing 25 acres on Lake Drive to relocate his business. It will be a heavy haul business. The only notable impact on the roads will be potentially the implementation of a new turn lane. Mr. Mann has never constructed a building before and is not entirely sure what all will be necessary.

The business will operate during daytime hours, between 6:00 AM and 5:00 PM. Outdoor storage would mostly be for trailers and gravel. There would be very little storage of customer's products. Mr. Mann expects an average of 10 trucks coming and going each day. The low land behind the property is unlikely to see use. There is a house adjacent to the property that Mr. Mann is hoping to buy to demolish. The house is currently on commercial property so there is no compatibility issue involved.

Mr. Mann is contemplating two driveways, one attached to the existing driveway and one U-turn driveway. Mursko reports that Anoka County might not allow both driveways but that it is a conversation to have with them to see what their position is. The building would be a concrete tip-up style building. There would be a 10,000 square foot shop building and a 25,000 square foot office. Fire suppression needs would be greater than the existing well can provide so there would need to be a new well. Mr. Mann also states his intention to do positive landscaping on the property to beautify it. Mr. Mann has a total of four companies that might make use of this property. There would be some truck repair on site as one of his companies repairs the trucks of his other companies. There would be no stockpiling of material on site.

There is some concern about the need for a turn lane to prevent cars from being backed up but the Council Members are in agreement that the Mr. Mann's company seems to fit in well with the existing characteristics of the neighborhood. A nonbinding motion to support this concept would not commit the City to approving a specific plan but would simply indicate to Mr. Mann that his concept is sound and that the City supports his vision.

Motion to support the non-binding concept plan for 14045 Lake Drive made by Krebs. Seconded by Peterson. Votes as follows: Peterson-Aye; Messina-Aye; Povolny-Aye; Duraine-Aye; Krebs-Aye. Motion carried.

10. DIRECTION- 9218 Lake Drive – Jon Peterson

Jon Peterson temporarily moved his business in 2011 after having a fire at his property. He recently purchased the 9218 Lake Drive property and began setting up a freestanding walled structure with a roof that the company had purchased. They considered this to be a temporary structure and therefore did not seek a permit prior to its construction. The City building inspector but a “stop work” order on the site, however, since the structure appears to exceed the parameters of what would be considered temporary. The company has since sent in a permit application. Mr. Peterson hopes to use the land for a few of years and then probably sell it. He wants to set up an outdoor retail business there with landscaping materials, salt, rocks, mulch, and other such products. The zoning in the district does not allow for outdoor storage but Mr. Peterson would like to store salt and rocks outside, at least on a seasonal basis. He wants to know what his options are for the commercial use of the site.

On a second related matter, Mr. Peterson recently moved a large amount of salt to the property for storage in the partially constructed storage facility. At the time, he was not aware that this was in violation of City code. He is now requesting that he be allowed to complete the construction of the building to protect his investment, the salt, from the damaging effects of weather that will occur if construction is further delayed. Mr. Peterson expresses a willingness to tear down the building in the spring if that is what the Council deems necessary. He still views it as a temporary structure.

There are two separate issues being considered here. The first issue is whether or not to allow Mr. Peterson to finish his storage facility for the short-term storage of his salt. The storage facility could be temporarily allowed by an interim use permit which would have strict conditions as to how long the use would be permitted. City Attorney Griffith reminded the Council that they had set a precedent in the fall with City View Electric where they allowed a project to be completed and then retroactively issued a permit for it. It is within their power to do so in this case and let Mr. Peterson complete his roof now with the expectation that he will apply for an interim use permit from the City. There is always the slight chance that he will be denied that permit and will then have to tear down the building immediately. Mr. Peterson will be assuming that risk by continuing the work on his storage facility without firmly securing a permit first. The second issue is whether or not his outdoor retail concept has a future in Columbus or whether its incompatibility with local zoning is too severe to allow it. This decision requires additional deliberation and will likely need to be decided by the new Council in 2015.

Motion made by Messina authorizing the building official to issue a building permit with the requirement that Mr. Peterson comes in again for an interim use permit. Second by Duraine. Votes as Follows: Peterson-Aye; Messina-Aye; Povolny-Aye; Duraine-Aye; Krebs-Aye. Motion carried.

11. PUBLIC OPEN FORUM

No comments during this time.

12. 2014 MEETING SCHEDULE

A 2015 calendar of meeting dates was included in the agenda packet. Three city council meeting days will need to be rescheduled due to holidays. The proposed date changes would move the Veterans Day City Council meeting from Wednesday, November 11th to Tuesday, November 10 as well as the Thanksgiving week meeting from Wednesday, November 25th to Monday, November 23rd and the Christmas week meeting from Wednesday December 23rd to Wednesday December 30th. The action being considered is the approval of the meeting schedule with these proposed changes. The calendar will be published December 18th, 2014. *(A copy of the meeting schedule is included at the end of the minutes)*

Motion ADM 04700 by Krebs to approve the 2015 meeting schedule with the following changes: (Veterans Day) from November 11th to Tuesday, November 10th, (Thanksgiving) from Wednesday, November 25th to Monday, November 23rd and the Christmas meeting from Wednesday, December 23rd to Tuesday, December 30th. Second by Peterson. Votes as follows: Peterson - Aye; Messina - Aye; Duraine - Aye; Krebs - Aye; Povolny - Aye. Motion Carried.

D. STAFF & CONSULTANT REPORTS

13. ENGINEER REPORT

White Bear Lake Lawsuit

The lawsuit between the White Bear Lake Restoration Association and the DNR has been resolved. As a result of the settlement, the DNR is now required to assist with legislation that would get the six affected White Bear Lake Communities (Mahtomedi, North Saint Paul, Shoreview, Vadnais Heights, White Bear Lake, and White Bear Township) away from using their own municipal wells and into using the Saint Paul regional water system. The Saint Paul system uses river water instead of the groundwater that the six cities are currently tapping with their wells. There is increasing environmental concern in Minnesota about the potentially negative effects of extracting lots of groundwater and there are many legislators at the State Capital who may sympathize with these concerns. However, the cost of the infrastructure that will be necessary to achieve this shift to the Saint Paul water system will be quite large, between \$155 million and \$230 million. This is not an insignificant amount and it is quite possible that the legislature will balk at providing that kind of funding. The agreement allows for the reinstatement of the lawsuit against the DNR in 2017 if the legislature has not moved to fund the shift to the Saint Paul system. In the next three years, there is anticipated to be a great deal more scientific study that should prove conclusively whether or not the groundwater extraction has been responsible for the decline in lake levels. The DNR continues to maintain that there is no established link and the three year suspension of the lawsuit will allow for this connection to be thoroughly explored.

A discussed Phase II of this water system redesign would expand the connections to the Saint Paul system to another seven Northeast Metro communities, one of which is the City of Columbus. Were this legislation to pass, it could compel the City to hook their municipal system up to the Saint Paul system. Private wells would not be affected, it would only affect the municipal water supply. Engineer Bohrer does not envision Phase II implementation to be very

likely as it would be extremely expensive and a city like Columbus has such low water demand that it would be very hard to justify that kind of infrastructure cost. Engineer Bohrer is going to continue to pay attention to this issue and report to the Council with any relevant information.

Grant Funding for the Realignment of Hornsby Street

A grant was secured in 2010 for the realignment of the southern leg of Hornsby Street, a project that was completed in 2012. That grant paid 40% of the cost of that realignment. The City is now seeking to realign the northern leg of Hornsby Street to match up with the relocated southern section. There is grant funding available through MnDOT's Local Road Improvement Program, a slightly different program than the one that was used in 2010. The selection process would be competitive, with several cities competing for the same pot of funding. The maximum amount that a city could qualify for would be \$750,000 and the money can only be applied to the cost of construction, not design or right-of-way acquisition. Since Columbus's population is under 5,000, it would need to be sponsored by Anoka County. If Columbus wants to apply for this grant, the following information is due by January 2 of 2015: completed application form, a City resolution, a County resolution as sponsor and support, project map, letters of concurrence or support, and an engineer's estimate of project costs. TKDA is in the process of seeking this grant for the City and needs a City Council Resolution to complete that part of the application packet. If successful, Columbus would have until June of 2016 to secure a construction contract in order to use the grant funding.

Resolution by Krebs that the City Council of the City of Columbus, Minnesota hereby requests funding from MnDOT through the 2014-2015 Local Road Improvement Program solicitation at the estimated amount of \$450,000 for the Hornsby Street Realignment North of TH 97 for Safety Improvements and Routes of Regional Significance. Second by Peterson. Votes as follows: Peterson- Aye; Messina-Aye; Povolny-Aye; Duraine-Aye; Krebs-Aye. Motion carried.

2015 Seal Coat and Overlay Project

The public works department is proposing two overlays. One is on Notre Dame between Kettle River and Broadway and the other is a small subdivision off of Kettle River. The public works department is also proposing that several roads all over the city be seal coated. The City's practice is generally to postpone these projects and save up funding for these projects for several years and then complete them simultaneously to allow for economies of scale to achieve cost savings. Engineer Bohrer expects the entire project to cost roughly \$890,000. There is currently enough money in the fund to cover these expenses. Bohrer has explored most of these roads along with Public Works employees and agrees with their assessments about the need for repairs. He is seeking a vote by the Council to approve the road repair program.

Motion to adopt the program as presented made by Duraine. Second by Peterson. Votes as follows: Peterson- Aye; Messina- Aye; Povolny- Aye; Duraine- Aye; Krebs- Aye. Motion carried.

It was also discussed that there might be some benefit to notifying communities that might be considering private road projects about this City project. They could potentially pay to be a part of the City's program and achieve cost savings through economies of scale.

Miscellaneous Discussions

There was also some discussion about how much it would cost to pave a patch of road over by Howard Lake. This patch of 165th Avenue from Potomac to Kettle River is very rural but has become a thoroughfare because of its proximity to the Howard Lake Bridge. Bohrer estimated that the paving expense would be roughly \$400,000. Mayor Povolny inquired as to whether it might be possible to pay for this improvement by at least partially assessing the entire city instead of just assessing the neighboring residents. This might be necessary for fairness since the whole community would see a dramatic benefit from the improvement. Griffith stated that this could be done if the Council decided to change its policy. Griffith believes that this would best be done by an amendment that makes it clear what the City's new policy is and not just spontaneously applied to this one case.

14. ATTORNEY REPORT

Dangerous Dog

A letter was sent to Anthony Preciado, the owner of the potentially dangerous dog that has been discussed in recent meetings, requesting proof that the dog had been terminated or that it had been micro-chipped. The letter was also sent to the prosecuting attorney that is prosecuting a case related to the dog's behaviors. The dog has bitten three people at this point in time. The owner responded to this request with a letter saying that he had shot the dog and buried it somewhere in Moundsvew. He offered to show City staff the burial spot if anyone felt the need to exhume it for additional proof. Council Members were not persuaded by the letter which does little to authenticate the death of the dangerous dog. According to Griffith, the City's options in this sort of case are to either prosecute the owner or to convince a judge that the owner is deliberately undermining the City's policies and to allow the City to confiscate the dog. Griffith recommends rejecting the letter as proof and moving on with the prosecution. Mayor Povolny agrees with that course of action.

15. MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL MEMBER'S REPORT

Council Member Peterson

No report

Council Member Messina

Council Member Messina recommends that council members visit the Anoka trail system to see how nice it is and why it would be a benefit to the City of Columbus.

Council Member Duraine

Council Member Duraine stated that there are Vassar residents attending tonight's meeting and suggested that the city request an update from John's Black dirt for a year-end report.

Council Member Krebs

No report

Mayor Dave Povolny

Mayor Povolny feels that there should be a system in place for residents who want a bike trail or a sidewalk in front of their house to request that sort of infrastructure. As the City grows and becomes slightly denser, there might be an increasing interest in this sort of thing. Attorney Griffith states that the comprehensive plan update would be an appropriate place to discuss this sort of initiative and make updates to park policies.

Mayor Povolny also has some concerns about Broadway between Lexington and Potomac, a stretch of street where many drivers routinely travel between 75 and 80 miles per hour. He feels that it may not even be safe for the police to pull people over on this street since traffic moves so quickly and there is no shoulder. He recommends looking into using speed-sensing display signs to deter extreme speeding. By making people aware of how severely they are speeding, it might be possible to discourage some of it. The signs generally cost between \$2000 and \$3000 and there might be a chance that the County would be interested in financially supporting the City in its speed reduction goals.

Mayor Povolny also had several small observations to make regarding City bills. Firstly, he believes there should be a permanent light on the Public Works building. The City pays to rent lighting during elections and it seems like it would be far easier just to add a light. Second, he is concerned about high levels of mileage reimbursement that have been occurring and hopes that City staff are using City vehicles and carpooling as much as possible to eliminate the need for such reimbursements. Third, he wonders whether Public Works is being well-served by their \$74 a month printer/copier contract. He thinks it might work out to be cheaper if a printer were just purchased. Fourth, the electric bill for the Public Works building is \$500 a month which might be a result of lights frequently being left on. It might be helpful to have sensors on the lights to reduce these bills.

16. City Administrator's Report

There does not appear to be a need for a meeting on December 30th. The only thing that was

scheduled for that time was bill payment and that can simply be processed differently so that the Council can pay it when they next meet in January. The Council can meet if it is desired but nothing else is pending for that agenda. Mursko is also recommending that City Hall be closed on December 24, 25, and 26 for the Holiday season. City staff would need to use vacation time or take off those days without pay.

Motion by Peterson to adopt the Holiday Schedule as stated with City Hall closing on December 24, 25, and 26th. Seconded by Krebs. Votes as follows: Peterson- Aye; Messina- Aye; Povolny- Aye; Duraine-Aye; Krebs-Aye. Motion carried.

Motion made by Messina to cancel the December 30th Meeting. Second by Peterson. Votes as follows: Peterson- Aye; Messina- Aye; Povolny- Aye; Duraine- Aye; Krebs- Aye. Motion carried.

As this is now Council Member Messina's last meeting, he would like to express his gratitude for the opportunity to serve on the City Council. He has really enjoyed it and tried to be a good representative for the voices of Columbus residents.

Treasurer's Report

Receipts: \$136,791.66

Disburse: \$57,220.72

Balance: \$1,921,180.06

E. ANNOUNCEMENTS & REMINDERS

- **Planning Commission Meeting 12/17/14 Cancelled**
- **Calendar of Meeting (Page 26)**

F. ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Duraine to adjourn. Second by Krebs.

Meeting adjourned at 9:39 PM.

Respectfully Submitted:

Michael Healy and Lorie Lemieux

Recording Secretaries